

**TENBURY TOWN COUNCIL 2014/15 – XTC02**

You are summoned to an **Extraordinary** meeting of **TENBURY TOWN COUNCIL** to be held on **Monday 12<sup>th</sup> May 2014 at 7.00pm** in the Pump Rooms, off Teme Street, Tenbury Wells.

**PRESENT:** Mayor Cllr M. Willis, Deputy Mayor S. Corfield, Cllrs S. Bowkett, J. da Costa, M. Drummond, J. Fielder, D. Ingram, J. Morgan, G. Price, E. Weston, J. Watson

**IN ATTENDANCE:** Town Clerk

**APOLOGIES:** Cllr M. Brennan, Cllr Hudson

**2.1 Apologies. To consider the acceptance of apologies for absence from Councillors**

Apologies had been received from Cllr Brennan and Cllr Hudson.

**RESOLVED** that apologies be accepted.

**2.2 Declarations of Interest**

Cllr Price declared a personal interest as Chair of TUFC in relation to item 2.7

**2.3 14/00035/OUT – To further consider this application in light of new information received**

Members considered further information received regarding drainage attenuation on the proposed site and the Landscape Impact Statement prepared for MHDC. Members felt the new information did nothing to alter their original recommendation for refusal on the grounds that the site was not part of the South Worcestershire Development Plan (SWDP). Members proposed that the Mayor, Clerk and Cllr Price prepare comments for submission to MHDC. (Appendix A)

**RESOLVED** that the recommendation for refusal remain because the site does not form part of the SWDP.

**2.4 To consider proposals for the Burgage**

Cllr Hudson had prepared a more detailed layout for a new pavilion in the position agreed at the previous meeting. Details from a manufacturer of off the shelf wooden pavilion buildings were provided with some rough costings but Cllr Hudson also suggested that quotes be obtained for a custom designed building which might use materials which would be easier to maintain and have a longer lifespan. Members agreed with the general proposal for the building and were happy to proceed further as proposed by Cllr Hudson.

**RESOLVED** that Cllr Hudson be asked to continue to research options for the pavilion for presentation to subsequent Council meetings.

**2.5 To consider proposals for the children's play area**

One quotation had so far been received. Others were awaited. Cllrs felt that a smaller group should take responsibility for looking at this project and the others in hand to keep it moving forward.

**RESOLVED** that Chairs of Amenities/Premises/Finance and the Mayor should form a small working party to make recommendations to Full Council.

**2.6 To consider proposals for the skate park**

One set of costings had been received but further consideration would need to be given to layout and the possibility of increasing the footprint of the skatepark. Members felt this should be looked at by the same working group as at item 2.5.

**RESOLVED** that the working party also look at this item.

**2.7 To consider options for relocation of the Sports Changing Rooms**

Much more work was required to firm up these plans especially in conjunction with Tenbury Community Pool Ltd regarding possible extension to the pool building to accommodate changing rooms. Members felt this should also be looked at by the same working group as at item 2.5.

**RESOLVED** that the working party also look at this item.

Meeting closed at 7:47 pm

Signed .....

Date .....

Tenbury Town Council further comments re. 14/00035/OUT

Tenbury Town Council has further considered application 14/0035/OUT in light of new information provided regarding landscape and drainage issues.

The Council has resolved that their original response to this application remains unchanged and that they continue to recommend refusal on the basis that this site is not included in the South Worcestershire Development Plan (SWDP).

The Council notes that the proposed drainage solutions do appear on paper to offer an improvement on green-field run off rates for the site. Members hope that this will translate into reality should the development be allowed to proceed but many remain sceptical having personally witnessed the heavy flow rates over this site in flash flood conditions.

The Council has always opposed development on any part of this lower valley between Oldwood Rd and Morningside/Berrington Rd and would therefore broadly agree with the findings of the landscape impact assessment prepared by Potterton Associates. The Council wonders however, why such considerations were not taken into account by MHDC when the decision was made to include part of the site in the SWDP, despite objection from the Town Council and local residents.